Supporting the Management of Patients with Heart Failure within Asia-Pacific, Middle East, and African Countries: A Toolbox for Healthcare Providers
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**Abstract**

**Objectives:** The management of heart failure (HF) is most effective when established treatment guidelines and recommendations are followed. We aimed to develop a “Toolbox” of resources to facilitate the care of patients with acute HF and chronic HF with reduced ejection fraction delivered by healthcare professionals across Asia-Pacific, the Middle East and Africa (henceforth referred to as the “Region”).

**Methods:** We convened a group of cardiologists from across the Region to develop a set of checklists, algorithms, and other practical resources. These resources are based on our experiences, current evidence, and international guidelines.

**Results:** The HF Toolbox comprises three simplified sets of resources for use in the Emergency Room (ER), hospital and outpatient settings. Resources include admission and discharge checklists, treatment algorithms, recommendations for forming a multidisciplinary team, patient education, and self-management materials, and key performance indicators to monitor whether standards of care are met or maintained, or should be improved.

**Conclusions:** The HF Toolbox provides practical resources to simplify the management of patients with HF and to support the formation of HF programs in the Region. The Toolbox is aligned with current guideline recommendations and can support the management of patients from presentation in the ER, through hospital admission to outpatient care.

**Introduction**

Heart failure (HF) is a complex clinical syndrome that places a significant burden on patients, caregivers, and healthcare systems [1]. Around 1–2% of the population...
in developed countries has HF [2, 3], and while there are limited data available on the prevalence and incidence of the condition within Asia-Pacific, Middle East and African countries (henceforth referred to as the “Region”), studies have reported that 0.5–6.7% of individuals in the Region have HF [4–9].

HF is associated with significant morbidity and mortality, and it greatly impacts patients’ health-related quality of life [1]. The syndrome accounts for 0.65–1.53% of hospital admissions in the Region [6] with acute in-hospital mortality rates of 1–15% [6, 7, 10–14]. Following hospital discharge, mortality rates are high (30-day mortality rate 3.9–8.3% [10, 15, 16]; 1-year mortality rate 20–28% [6, 16, 17]; 5-year mortality rate 50–68% [6, 17]), although they vary across the Region [18].

HF accounts for approximately 2% of healthcare expenditure worldwide, with up to 70% of the cost attributable to hospitalizations [19, 20]. Overall healthcare expenditure on HF in Western countries and a number of countries within the Region is similar; however, Western countries typically spend more than developing countries on the primary treatment of HF, while developing countries tend to spend less on the initial management of patients and have greater costs in terms of readmissions and other indirect costs, compared with Western countries [19]. This highlights a potential opportunity for the Region to improve outpatient management and reduce hospitalization/re-hospitalization rates and associated costs. A registry of patients with HF in the Middle East revealed hospital readmission rates of 18 and 40% at 3 and 12 months, respectively [17]. This relatively high re-hospitalization rate may reflect a lack of adequate outpatient surveillance and management in specialized HF clinics, as well as underutilization of coronary intervention and device therapy [17].

Several differences in patient characteristics have been observed in the Region compared with Western countries. Patients diagnosed with HF in sub-Saharan Africa, the Middle East, China, and South/South-East Asia can be up to two decades younger than those in Western countries [9, 11, 17]. The etiology of HF is thought to differ in the Region compared with Western countries, with untreated congenital heart disease, rheumatic heart disease, cardiomyopathies, and hypertension as significant causes [5, 21]. However, it should be noted that the etiology, prevalence, management, and outcomes of HF vary among the countries within the Region [9, 22]. For instance, a large prospective cohort study of HF across Africa, Asia, the Middle East, and South America (International Congestive Heart Failure [INTER-CHF]) found that the primary etiology of HF in the Middle East and Asia was ischemic heart disease, as in Western countries [10, 23], while in Africa, the leading cause of HF was hypertension [23]. This finding is consistent with the sub-Saharan Africa Survey of Heart Failure (THESUS-HF), which found that nonischemic causes (most commonly hypertension) were the predominant etiology underlying HF in sub-Saharan Africa overall, with some variation across African countries [13].

Despite advances in the treatment of HF, morbidity and mortality remain high, and there are considerable unmet needs in the Region. These include a need to increase understanding of HF, how to diagnose HF promptly, and the benefits of treatment among the public, healthcare workers, and policy makers. A lack of HF education for physicians, nurses, and other healthcare providers, as well as for patients and their families/caregivers, needs to be addressed. There is also a need to establish multidisciplinary teams (MDTs) for the management of patients with HF, and to identify quality measures to monitor performance and improve patient outcomes. The Region also suffers from limited resources for long-term patient follow-up, such as dedicated HF clinics and measures/protocols to prevent hospital readmissions.

An important factor in improving patient outcomes is implementation of practices that follow guideline recommendations. It is recognized that adherence to guidelines for the selection of appropriate medication class(es), titrated to target dosages, improves patient outcomes [24, 25]. This may be important to address in the Region, as data from the recent QUALIFY study indicated that a relatively high proportion of patients in Asia and the Middle East, compared with Caucasian patients, were on treatment regimens with poor adherence to guideline recommendations [25]. In addition, practical measures such as establishing MDTs for the management of patients with HF, with structured discharge planning, provision of educational materials to encourage self-care and the set-up of outpatient HF management programs, along with the assessment of key performance indicators (KPIs), may improve patient outcomes [26, 27].

In this article, we propose a set of resources (a “HF Toolbox”) to guide the management of patients with acute HF (AHF) and patients with chronic HF with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF), in line with current recommendations, and to support the formation and enhancement of HF programs in the Region. The HF Toolbox will be useful for all healthcare professionals (HCPs)
who manage patients with AHF and patients with chronic HFrEF and may be particularly useful for centers that would like to have, or have already initiated, a set-up of a HF program. By facilitating the management of patients, the HF Toolbox will be valuable, particularly when the treating physician is not a cardiology specialist, as is often the case in the Region.

**Overview of the HF Toolbox**

We have developed a HF Toolbox to simplify the management of patients with HF from the presentation in the Emergency Room (ER), through hospital admission to outpatient care. The HF Toolbox is intended to guide the management of patients with AHF and patients with chronic HFrEF. As medical experts from across the Region with many years of experience in the management of patients with HF, we selected key resources for inclusion within the HF Toolbox that would help optimize the management of these patients (Fig. 1).

This HF Toolbox has been developed in line with the current guidelines for the management of HF, with the aim of demonstrating how to implement these standards in practice. The HF Toolbox comprises checklists, algorithms, and templates, as well as other practical resources. The resources included are not exhaustive but represent an approach to facilitate the management of patients with HF, based on our experiences, current evidence, and international guidelines. Tools are intended to provide general guidance to support the management of patients, rather than specific, step-by-step guidance.

The selected tools provide a core resource for MDTs and include resources recommended by international professional societies, and items that we (the authors) have developed as a group. Given that the MDT is involved in addressing the needs of patients with HF.

**Fig. 1. An overview of the Heart Failure Toolbox.**
throughout their journey (from presentation at the ER through to hospital admission and outpatient care), some resources will be of use in more than one setting.

The HF Toolbox is freely available to HCPs and tools can be used individually or in conjunction with one another and translated and adapted according to local needs. As such, the HF Toolbox is expected to be useful irrespective of healthcare infrastructure and available resources, which vary considerably across the Region. The HF Toolbox also includes a guide to allow users to easily identify resources of relevance.

**Items within the HF Toolbox**

**The ER Setting**

Within the Region, there is significant heterogeneity in AHF care, with ER teams rarely including HF specialists. As such, guidance in the form of checklists and algorithms should be used to provide consistency in the assessment and management of patients presenting with suspected AHF (including patients with de novo AHF and acute decompensation of chronic HF) and facilitate rapid initiation of treatment (Fig. 1).

Patients may present with wide-ranging symptoms, often complicated by the presence of comorbid conditions, making differential diagnosis challenging. We developed a two-part checklist for the emergency assessment of patients with suspected AHF (item 1) as a practical resource to guide history taking, physical examination, and initial investigations of patients who present at the ER with suspected AHF.

Initial management of patients with AHF is critical. The HF Toolbox includes a simplified algorithm (item 2a), developed based on our experiences and considering recommendations such as the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic HF [28]. This algorithm provides recommendations for the management of patients with AHF in the ER based on clinical features, including the presence of congestion and systolic blood pressure.

After patients have been stabilized in the ER, they may require admission to the Coronary Care Unit (CCU; or the Intensive Care Unit if not available), or to a regular ward for further treatment. HF Toolbox item 3 provides a list of criteria, based on the ESC guideline recommendations [28], to support physicians’ decision making in terms of admission of patients to the CCU or to a regular ward. If any one of the criteria stated are met, the patient should be admitted to the CCU; if not, the patient can be admitted to a regular ward.

**The Hospital Setting**

In the hospital setting, appropriate treatment of patients with AHF is essential. In addition, co-ordination of hospital admission, discharge planning and patient education is vital, but may be poorly organized and implemented inconsistently [1]. We provide a number of resources, including checklists, charts, algorithms, a patient information and self-management booklet, and a list of KPIs, to optimize the in-hospital management of patients with AHF (Fig. 1).

We have produced an admission orders checklist (item 4), which we recommend completing for each patient upon hospital admission to support various aspects of their management in the inpatient setting. The checklist provides an opportunity for the admitting physician to establish a patient’s clinical profile (according to the presence or absence of congestion and adequate perfusion or hypoperfusion) and create an individualized plan that clearly indicates what the patient requires in terms of pharmacological and nonpharmacological treatment strategies, assessments to be undertaken, monitoring by nursing staff and other nursing activities, and patient education.

The tools for use in the hospital setting include a treatment algorithm (item 2b) to guide the management of patients with AHF during the early phase of hospital admission. Like the simplified algorithm provided for use in the ER setting, this algorithm has been developed to facilitate the selection of appropriate treatment, in line with ESC guidelines [28]. However, this version (for use in the hospital setting) provides greater detail to determine an appropriate course of action based on the patient’s clinical profile (determined by the presence or absence of congestion [“wet”/“dry” patients, respectively] and systolic blood pressure).

Successful management of patients with HF requires input from several HCPs with different skills and specialties, working together to form an MDT. We have developed a document (item 5) to provide recommendations for establishing an HF team, including identification of specialists and supporting staff who should be involved, and detailing the roles and responsibilities of each member of the team. Occupations are listed by priority (highest priority to lowest priority for inclusion within the team), so that institutions (particularly those with limited resources) can easily identify which roles are most vital in the multidisciplinary management of patients with HF.
An HF specialist nurse is a core member of the MDT. Specialist nurses and members of the wider hospital nursing team may find a nursing chart, tailored to patients with HF, useful (item 6). This chart is designed to support the follow-up of patients with AHF during hospital admission, including detailed monitoring and assessment of the main organ systems. It also includes space to record details of the hospital admission and the patient’s medical history, to ensure that all of the necessary information is documented on one chart, and space for an action list. This item has been adapted from a resource on a website that provides notes, printable reference sheets and study aids for nurses [29].

Educating patients on steps they can take to reduce their risk of future HF-related events and on the importance of self-care is key to improving long-term outcomes. We have produced a booklet that includes both patient information and self-management resources (item 7), which can be given to patients with AHF during their hospital stay and can be translated into the local language and adapted according to local needs and recommendations. This booklet provides a brief overview of various aspects of HF management and can be complemented by more detailed educational materials provided in the outpatient setting.

The booklet describes the symptoms that patients with HF may experience and provides recommendations in relation to nutrition and diet (e.g., maintaining a low sodium diet and managing fluid intake). The booklet also outlines medication options (including how to manage side effects that patients may encounter with different classes of HF medication), activity and rehabilitation (guidance on safely introducing a suitable exercise regime), weight management and other aspects of a healthy lifestyle. Advice on the importance of regular follow-up appointments is included, as well as when to seek further medical treatment and useful online educational resources and mobile applications. This information is complemented by the inclusion of a checklist, and links to other useful resources designed to help patients to monitor their condition and proactively manage their HF.

Within the booklet is a self-management checklist that we have developed for patients, to ensure they understand key aspects of self-management and identify areas where they may require additional education and advice from a nurse specialist. This is accompanied by descriptions of and links to several useful materials developed by the Heart Failure Association of the ESC [30]. Materials include a list of warning signs that should be discussed with a doctor or nurse, or that warrant calling for immediate help; diary pages to record symptoms and events; a chart to record signs including heart rate, blood pressure, and weight that patients can monitor themselves between outpatient appointments; and an appointment record to note the time and date of appointments with the healthcare team, and reminders of anything they might need to bring or wish to discuss at the appointment.

Prior to discharge from hospital, it is essential for the treating physician in the hospital to summarize information on the patient’s status, history, and treatment plan to facilitate smooth transition from inpatient to outpatient care. We advise sharing patient records across the MDT, to ensure that all HCPs involved in follow-up care have access to the relevant information. The pre-discharge checklist (item 8) is adapted from the Heart Failure Clinical Pathway of the National Heart Centre Singapore. The checklist includes fields to record the etiology of HF, the patients’ comorbidities, and the reason for decompensation, information on the treatment that has been initiated (which drug class[es] have been prescribed and which deemed unsuitable for the patient, with reasons, if applicable), whether left ventricular ejection fraction has been assessed, and whether the patient has been offered an implanted device (cardioverter defibrillator or cardiac resynchronization therapy defibrillator). The checklist also includes space to record information in relation to follow-up appointments and a list of discharge instructions to be followed and documented by the other members of the MDT; for example, HF education by nursing staff or instructions from a pharmacist regarding medication regime.

It is hoped that utilizing the simplified resources within the HF Toolbox will help ensure that HCPs adhere to guidelines for the treatment of HF. Assessment of measurable aspects of patient care, through the evaluation of KPIs, provides a means of quantifying adherence to guidelines and assessing the performance of the hospital/healthcare organization in maintaining standards of care for HF. The HF Toolbox includes a list of quality measures, based on ESC guideline recommendations [28] (item 9a) that we recommend evaluating on a yearly basis. The KPIs in this list focus on the use of guideline-recommended therapies, patient and hospitalization outcomes, the provision of patient education, and patient assessment.
**The Outpatient Setting**

The transition of patients from the hospital to the outpatient setting is an important stage in the management of patients with HF and should involve seamless integration with chronic care services [1]. The use of evidence-based therapies in line with guideline recommendations, the provision of patient education, and the organization of multiple follow-up visits are required to ensure that patient self-management and long-term care are optimized [1]. As a result, we provide various resources for the MDT, including a patient chart, a patient booklet, and a list of KPIs to monitor and support the long-term management of patients with HF (Fig. 1).

Adherence to guideline recommendations has been shown to improve outcomes for patients with HF [24, 25]. The HF Toolbox provides a summary, based on ESC guidelines [28], of recommendations for the pharmacological and nonpharmacological management of patients with chronic HFrEF in the outpatient setting (item 2c). With reference to the relevant sections of the guidelines [28], this document includes information on the different classes of medications used in the treatment of HFrEF and situations in which they are recommended and highlights the importance of titration of medications to target dosages, since adequate dosing is a key factor in optimizing patient outcomes [24]. This document also summarizes recommendations for the use of implantable devices, the prevention of thromboembolism, and nonpharmacological interventions such as aerobic exercise.

The MDT described above (under The Hospital Setting) includes both hospital-based staff and auxiliary staff involved in outpatient care. The MDT document provided in the HF Toolbox for use in the hospital setting (item 5) is also provided for reference in the outpatient setting.

Regular follow-up visits are a fundamental aspect of outpatient care. It is useful if the assessments completed at these visits are standardized, so consistent data are collected at each visit to allow monitoring of patients’ progress over the course of long-term follow-up. This may also aid data collection and help improve patient outcomes. The HF Toolbox includes a standardized patient chart (item 10) comprising record sheets to be completed at the first outpatient evaluation following hospital discharge (or first evaluation following referral from primary care, for patients with HFrEF who have not been hospitalized for acute decompensation) (sheets 1–3), and at all subsequent follow-up visits (sheet 3). At the first outpatient visit, a detailed patient history should be taken, and the patient chart includes fields to capture basic information in relation to the patient, their caregiver(s) and their HF team, their medical history including non-HF problems, current HF management, HF diagnosis date, and left ventricular ejection fraction. Evaluations to be recorded at first and subsequent visits include vital signs, symptoms, New York Heart Association (NYHA) class, results from laboratory tests, and details of other assessments undertaken (e.g., electrocardiogram). Information on education, as provided by an HF nurse specialist, should also be captured at each outpatient visit.

As discussed above, patient education and self-management are important aspects of HF care. The patient information and self-management resources booklet provided for use in the hospital setting (item 7) can also be given to outpatients, if they have not been hospitalized, or did not receive it in hospital for any reason.

We have recommended monitoring of KPIs in the hospital setting; similarly, evaluation of KPIs in the outpatient setting can help ensure that standards of care are maintained. A list of quality measures relevant to the outpatient setting and aligned with ESC guideline recommendations is provided in the HF Toolbox (item 9b) [28]. Similar to the list of KPIs provided within the hospital setting resources, there is a focus on patient assessment, the provision of patient education, the use of guideline-recommended therapies, and patient and hospitalization outcomes, and it is recommended that KPIs are measured on a yearly basis.

**Accompanying Guide**

The HF Toolbox also includes a guidance document for users, which provides an overview of the Toolbox and details of each of the items. This allows users to quickly and easily identify the resources that will be of most use to their institution(s). We recommend that items, such as completed checklists, are available to all members of MDTs, to provide all HCPs with a role in the management of patients with HF with as much information as possible, to allow smooth transitions between different care settings.

**Value of the HF Toolbox**

The Acute Cardiovascular Care Association (ACCA), Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association (PCNA), American Heart Association (AHA), Council on Cardiovascular Nursing and Allied Professions (CCNAP), and
Table 1. Other resources available to support the management of patients with cardiovascular diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Toolbox</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
American College of Cardiology (ACC) have developed resources to support the implementation of guideline recommendations and to guide the management of patients with HF (Table 1) [31–37]. However, it is our belief that this practical HF Toolbox will be a valuable resource for physicians, nurses and other HCPs in the Region for several reasons: the HF Toolbox is tailored to HCPs within the Region and the management of HF, while many of the currently available resources are aimed at HCPs in the United States of America or Europe and may not focus solely on the management of HF (an exception to this is the Global Heart Failure disease education program developed by HCPs from the Region, in collaboration with the ACC) [34]. Content is readily and freely available to any HCP interested in the improvement of HF care, is easy to follow, and is aligned with current guidelines for HF management. Selected, practical items from the guidelines have been simplified and included within the HF Toolbox, in a format that permits quick reference (e.g., simplified criteria for hospitalization). Institutions can pick which components of the HF Toolbox to use to support the management of patients with HF in their specific institution. They can also use the content of the HF Toolbox as a reference and adapt it according to their practices and the resources available. Checklists are available in both printable and editable electronic formats and print well in both color and black and white; users can select checklists in their preferred format for completion. Furthermore, the HF Toolbox includes a list of KPIs, so that HCPs can monitor the success of current and future practices.

The availability of this HF Toolbox in the Region may help support the implementation of practices that address key gaps and concerns in relation to HF management. Potential gaps in HF management in the Region include a lack of education for patients with HF, a need to establish MDTs for the long-term management of patients with HF, a lack of quality measures to determine performance and improve patient outcomes, and limited resources for long-term patient follow-up. In addition, given the heterogeneity of current management practices in the Region, the HF Toolbox may assist in standardizing HF care. Reducing unmet needs and aligning management practices with guideline recommendations will likely result in improved patient outcomes [26, 38].

However, some limitations should be noted. While the resources included within the HF Toolbox have not been validated for use in the management of patients with HF, items have been adapted from materials used in clinical practice and developed based on international guideline recommendations. More objective evidence of the effectiveness of such resources is required, in terms of impact on patient outcomes. In addition, there may be limitations to the implementation of HF Toolbox recommendations, depending on the resources available within each country and within individual institutions.

Conclusions

In this article, we present a HF Toolbox that provides practical resources to guide the management of patients with HF (AHF and chronic HFrEF) and to support the formation of HF programs in Asia-Pacific, Middle East, and African countries (the Region). The HF Toolbox is aligned with current guidelines for the management of patients with HF and can be used to support the management of patients from presentation in the ER, through hospital admission to outpatient care. It is hoped that the dissemination of the HF Toolbox within the Region will guide the implementation of practices that help address key gaps and concerns in relation to HF management, and, ultimately, improve the outcomes of patients with HF in the Region.

Interactive Files

Interactive versions of the items are available as online supplementary material at www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000496663.
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